# Homology Modeling of Human Sweet Taste Receptors: T1R2-T1R3

Ragheed Hussam Yousif<sup>1</sup> and Nurul Bahiyah Ahmad Khairudin<sup>2</sup> Malaysia - Japan International Institute of Technology (MJIIT) University Teknologi Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur Email: hyragheed3@live.utm.my<sup>1</sup>, nurulbahiyah@ic.utm.my<sup>2</sup>

*Abstract*—The sweet taste perception in human is mainly due to the specific G protein- copulated heterodimeric receptors (GPCR) T1R2-T1R3 and these receptors gathered in the taste buds of the tongue. The sweet protein acts as an important rule for molecular understanding of the taste mechanisms. Therefore, the Homology modeling of the closely related sweet taste receptors (T1R2-T1R3), is crucial to provide an understanding of the interactions between the sweetens and the receptors. 3A21 and 3Q41 were selected as possible templates for T1R2 and T1R3, respectively based on the phylogenetic evaluations. The models of the target sequences were generated using the program MODELLER V9.10. From the Ramachandran plot analysis it was shown that 79% and 84% of the residues reside in the core region for T1R2 model and T1R3 model, respectively.

*Index Terms*—homology modeling, human sweet taste receptors, MODELLER

# I. INTRODUCTION

There are five crucial taste traits able to be sensed by human being, which includes sweet, umami, bitter, salty, and sour. The G protein-coupled receptors are referred to sweet and umami taste, and the sweet state receptors (T1R2/T1R3) are heterodimeric belongs to the TR family closely related to G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR), [1] and these sweet taste receptors gathered in the taste buds of the tongue [2]. Furthermore, they are able to detect all class of sweeteners including sugars, artificial sweeteners, amino acids, and sweet-tasting proteins [3]. [4]. And they have different ligand binding sites. However, there is yet a clear study to give an insight of the binding ability of the human sweet taste receptors T1R2 and T1R3 [5].

In over 108 million protein sequences that have been experimentally determined, there is only a little number of those proteins with solved structures. Since the gap between the protein sequence and the structure is huge, the computational tools are needed to solve the protein structures [6]. The best method is Homology modeling or comparative modeling [7]. This method has been proven to successfully predict a 3D tertiary structure of unknown protein structure using known protein templates. [8].

In homology modeling, the sequence identity and similarity between the template and the target determine the accuracy of the model. High accuracy model will be produced when the sequence identity is more than 50%.

If the sequence identity is less than 30%, it may produce a model with a possible error [9].

Fig. 1 shows the homology modeling process includes template selection, sequence alignment, model building and model refinement [7].



Figure 1. Flow chart of the structure prediction process of human sweet taste receptors.

# II. METHODOLOGY

#### A. Template Selection and Sequence Alignment

The template searching was performed using Basic Local Alignment Search Tools (BLAST), which is a tool to search for sequence similarities for proteins and nucleotides [10], and subsequently using MEGA5 to construct the phylogenetic trees for both T1R2 and T1R3 to determine the closest template according to their molecular evaluation [11]. The target and the template sequences were aligned together by using the ClustalW. [12].

#### B. Model Building

Different models were generated using MODELLER V9.10 employing the method of satisfaction of spatial restraints [13]. The models with the lowest energy were chosen for the model evaluation.

# III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

©2014 Engineering and Technology Publishing doi: 10.12720/jomb.3.2.84-86

Manuscript received July 3, 2013; revised September 8, 2013.



Figure 2. The phylogenetic analysis for both T1R2 and T1R3

The results shows that the crystal structure of *Streptomyces avernitilis* beta-L- Arabinopyranosidase (3A21) and Crystal structure of the GluN1 N-terminal domain (3Q41) are the templates for T1R2 and T1R3 respectively as shown in Fig. 2. The sequence alignment between the target and the template sequences was performed using ClustalW as shown in Fig. 3. The sequence identity was 14.98% and 20.05% for T1R2 and T1R3 respectively. Fig. 4 shows the 3D structure of both T1R2 and T1R3.

T1R2	LRTTPSADHHIEAMVQLMLHFRWNWIIVLVSSDTYGRDNGQLLGERVARRDICIAFQETL	240
3A21	TGRPAAPGSGSEGHYDQDMLQFSTWGFDFVKVDWCG-GDAEGLDAATTYKSISDAVGRAA	168
	*** * * * *	
T1R2	PTLOPNONMTSEERORLVTIVDKLOOSTARVVVVFSPDLTLYHFFNEVLRONFTGAVWIA	300
3A21	ATTGRPLTLSICNWGYONPWNWAAGOAPLWRTSTDIIYYGNOPSMT	214
	.*	
T1R2	SESWATDPVLHNL/TELRHLGTFLGTTTOSVPTPGFSEFREWGPOAGPPPLSRTSOSYTCN	360
3A21	SLLSNFDOTLHPTAOHTGYYNDPDMLMVGMDGFTAAONRTHM	256
	* ** .** . ** * * . * * * *** **	
T1R2	OFCONCLNATLSENTILELSGERVVYSVYSAVYAVAHALHSLLGCDKSTCTKRVVYPWOL	420
3821	NIWATSCAPIJACNDI. TTMTSETACTI, KNPEVIAVDODSECI. OGVKVAEDTTGI. OAVCKV	316
SHEL	* ** * * ** ** ** ** *** * ** * * * * *	
m1p2	T.FETWKUNETTI, DUOTEEDDOCDUAT HT.FTVOWOWDDSONDEOSUA SVVDTOPOLKNTOD	480
3821	LSCTCNPAVULINPTSAAHDTTVDWSDLCTTNASATVPDLWAPONVC	363
SHEI	LOGIGARAVVLLARIGRARDIIVRADLGLIARDRIVRDLARAQAVG	303
m1p2	TOWUTTNINT DAGACSYDCOSCOVYYDUCTUCCEPCT DCT DCTET NUTEDEVECOLODU	540
1162	ISWITTANTIPASKCSARCQSSQARAFVGINVCCFBCIDCBFGTFBARTEDETECQACFA	340
20 21	meaneyma curba cocupit munocomen a coa va amenero vincuma a cinet au	414
3A21	TSATGYTASVPAGGSVMLTVTGGTEAAGGAYAATSTGRYTGVTAASTGLNV	414
3A21	TSATGYTASVPAGGSVMLTVTGGTEAAGGAYAATSTGRYTGVTAASTGLNV * . ::* :* .: . * :. :* :*	414
3A21	TSATGYTASYPAGSYMLTYTGGTEAAGGAYAATSTGRYTGYTAASTGLNV * * . ::* :* *:*:* WEGYGGERGEVOULEE ENDEDDT AUGULAD OF STUDIEDDE OTDE VOULED.	414
T1R2	TSATGYTASVPAGGSVMLTVTGGTEAAGGAYAATSTGRYTGVTAASTGLNV * * . ::* :* :*	414 600
T1R2 3A21	TSATGYTASVPAGGSVMLTVTGOTEAAGGAYAATSTGRYTGVTAASTGINV · · ::* · · · :* · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	414 600 472
T1R2 3A21	TSATGYTASVPAGGSVMLTVTGGTEAAGGAXAATSTGRYTGVTAASTGLNV * * ::*. NEWSYQSETSCFKRQLVFLEWHEAPTIAVALLAALGFLSTLAILVIFWRHFQTPIVRSAG VUVATYINTSSARTATLQVNGYTTVSFPPTGASAGTVSVEVSLSKGSANTLALSGG ::*.:*.:*.	414 600 472
3A21 T1R2 3A21	TSATGYTASVPAGSVMLTVTGGTEAAGGAYAATSTGRYTGVTAASTGINV + * ::*: : *: : *: : *: : *: : *: : *:	414 600 472
3A21 T1R2 3A21 T1R2	TSATGYTASVPACGSVMLTVTGGTEAAGGAXAATSTGRYTGVTAASTGLNV + ************************************	414 600 472 660
TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21	TSATGYTASVPAGGSVMLTVTGGTEAAGGAYAATSTGRTVGVTAASTGLNV * ::*: :*: :*: :*: :*: NEWSYQSETSCFKRQLVFLEWHEAPTIAVALLAALGFLSTLATLVIFWRHFQTPIVRSAG VDVATTINTSSAFTATLQVNQCYATTVSFPFTQASAG7VSVEVSLSKGANTLALSGG :*::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	414 600 472 660 504
TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21	TSATGYTASVPAGGSVMLTVTGGTEAAGGAXAATSTGRYTQVTAASTGLNV - ** . ::* ::* . :: * :. : * :. : * :. : * :. : * :. : * :: * :: * ::* : * ::* : * ::* : * ::*::*	414 600 472 660 504
TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21	TSATGYTASVPAGSVMUTVTGGTEAAGGAYAATSTGRYTOVTAASTGINV * ::* : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	414 600 472 660 504
3A21 T1R2 3A21 T1R2 3A21 T1R2 3A21	TSATGYTASVFACGSVMLTVTGGTEAAGGAYAATSTGRYTGVTAASTGLNV * ::*. :*. :* :: :* :: :* :: :* NEWSYGSETSCFKRGUVFLEWHEAPTIAVLLAALGFLSTLAILVIFWRHFQTFIVRSAG VDVAYTNNTSSARTATLQVNGQTATTVSFPPTGASAGTVSVEVSLSKGSANTLALSGG ::*. :*: :: :: :* :* :: :: :: :* GPMCELNLTLLVAYWVVVVGPTAVSTCLCRQALFPLCFTGISCLAWSFGUVCAFK 	414 600 472 660 504 720
TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21	TSATGYTASVPACGSVULTVTGGTEAAGGAXAATSTGRYTGVTAASTGLNV + * . ::* . ::* . : * : :* : :* NEWSYQSETSCFKRQLVFLEWHEAPTIAVALLAALGFLSTLAILVIFWRHFQTPIVRSAG VDVATINNFSARTATLQVNQCTATTVSFPFTGASAGTVSVEVSLSKGSANTLALSGG ::* .:* .:* .: :: :: * * :: :: * * :: :: * * :: :: * * :: ::	414 600 472 660 504 720 560
TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21	TSATGYTASVPAGSVMLTVTGGTEAAGGAYAATSTGRYTGVTAASTGLNV * ::*: : :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	414 600 472 660 504 720 560
TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21	TSATGYTASVFACGSVMLTVTGGTEAAGGAXAATSTGRYTGVTAASTGLNV + * . ::* :* :* : :* : :* : :* . :* ::* . :* . :* :* . :* :* . :* :* . :* :* . :* :* .	414 600 472 660 504 720 560
TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21	TSATGYTASVPAGSVMLTVTGGTEAAGGAYAATSTGRYTGVTAASTGLNV * ::* : * : * : * : * : * : * : * : * :	414 600 472 660 504 720 560 780
TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21 TIR2 3A21	TSATGYTASVFACGSVHLTVTGGTEAAGGAXAATSTGRTUGVTAASTGLNV * ::*: :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	414 600 472 660 504 720 560 780 612

1R3	MLGPAVLGLSLWALLHPGTGAPLCLSQQLRMKGDYVLGGLFPLGEAEEAGLRSRTRPSSP 6	0
Q41	ACDPKIVNGAVLSTRKHEQMFREAVNQANKRHGSWKIQL 4	0
	.* ::. ** *. :: :* : * :*. * : .	
1R3	VCTRFSSNGLLWALAMKMAVEEINNKSDLLPGLRLGYDLFDTCSEPVVAMKPSLMFLAKA 1	20
Q41	NATSVTHKPNAIQMALSVCEDLISS 6	5
	.* .: : :*:.: : *	
1R3	GSRDIAAYCNYTQYQPRVLAVIGPHSSELAMVTGKFFSFFLMPQVSYGASMELLSARETF 1	80
Q41	QVYAILVSHPPTPNDHFTPTPVSYTAGFYRIPVLGLTTRMSIYSDKSIH 1	14
	.*: * *:*: :* :. : *.: * :	
1R3	PSFFRTVPSDRVQLTAAAELLQEFGWNWVAALGSDDEYGRQGLSIFSALAAARGICIAHE 2	40
Q41	LSFLRTVPPYSHQSSVWFEMMRVYNWNHIILLVSDDHEGRAAQKRLETLLEERE 1	68
	**:****. * :. *::: :.** : * ***. ** :.:* * *	
1R3	GLVPLPRADDSRLGKVQDVLHQVNQSSVQVVLLFASVHAAHALFNYSISSRLSPKVWVAS 3	00
Q41	SKAEKVLQFDPGTKNVTALLMEARELEARVIILSASEDDAATVYR 2	13
	*. :* :* :::*::* ** . * :::.	
1R3	EAWLTSDLVMGLPGMAQMGTVLGFLQRGAQLHEFPQYVKTHLALATDPAFCSALGEREQG 3	60
Q41	AAAMLNMTGSGYVWLVGERE 2	33
	: : *: :****	
1R3	LEEDVVGQRCPQCDCITLQNVSAGLNHHQTFSVYAAVYSVAQALHNTLQCNASGCPAQDP 4	20
Q41	ISGNALRYAPDGIIGLQLINGKNESAHISDAVGVVAQAVHELLEKENITDPPRGC 2	88
	* * ** : :::: : ** ****:*: *: : *.:.	
1R3	VKPWQLLENMYNLTFHVGGLPLRFDSSGNVDMEYDLKLWVWQGSVPRLHDVGRFNGSLRT 4	80
Q41	VGNTNIWKTGPLFKRVLMSSKYADGVTG 3	16
	* *** *** *** *** ***	
1R3	ERLKIRWHTSDNQKPVSRCSRQCQEGQVRRVKGFHSCCYDCVDCEAGSYRQNPDDIACTF 5	40
Q41	RVEFNEDGDRKFANYSIMNLQNRKLVQVGIYNGTHVIPNDRKIIW 3	61
1R3	CGQDEwSPERSTRCFRRRSRFLAWGEPAVLLLLLLSLALGLVLAALGLFVHHRDSPLVQ 6	00
Q41	PGGETEKPRGYQVDGGGGGLVP 3	83
	* : .*. * * **	

Figure 3. The ClustalW alignment for T1R2 and T1R3



Figure 4. T1R2-3A21 and T1R3-3Q41 models.



Figure 5. Ramachandran Plot of T1R2 -3A21 and T1R3- 3Q41.

The Ramachandran plot analysis was performed in order to examine the quality of the models [14], and the results for this plot shows that T1R2 model had 77.2% of the residues located in the most favoured regions, while 84.2% for the T1R3 model, correspondingly as shown in Fig. 5.

# IV. CONCLUSION

The aim of this project was to predict the 3D structure for the human taste receptors, which are T1R2 and T1R3. This was done by identification of the template structure and performing the sequence alignment between the target sequence and the template sequence.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank Malaysia - Japan International Institute of Technology (MJIIT)-Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Kuala Lumpur for supporting this research.

# REFERENCES

- F. Zhang, et al., "Molecular mechanism of the sweet taste enhancers," ETATS-UNIS: National Academy of Sciences. 6, Washington, DC, vol. 107, 2010.
- [2] G. Nelson, *et al.*, "Mammalian sweet taste receptors," *Cell*, vol. 106, no. 3, pp. 381-390, 2001.
- [3] K. Ohta, et al., "Introduction of a negative charge at Arg82 in thaumatin abolished responses to human T1R2–T1R3 sweet receptors," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 413, no. 1, pp. 41-45, 2011.
- [4] J. Chandrashekar, *et al.*, "The receptors and cells for mammalian taste," *Nature*, vol. 444, no. 7117, pp. 288-294, 2006.
- [5] S. Costanzi, "Modeling G protein-coupled receptors and their interactions with ligands," *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, vol. 23, no. 2, 2013.

- [6] J. Cheng, et al., "The multicom toolbox for protein structure prediction," BMC Bioinformatics, vol. 13, no. 1, 2012.
- [7] A. Hillisch, L. F. Pineda, and R. Hilgenfeld, "Utility of homology models in the drug discovery process," *Drug Discovery Today*, vol. 9, no. 15, pp. 659-669, 2004.
- [8] K. Masuda, et al., "Characterization of the modes of binding between human sweet taste receptor and low- molecular-weight sweet compounds," PLoS One, vol. 7, no. 4, 2012.
- [9] C. A. Floudas, *et al.*, "Advances in protein structure prediction and de novo protein design: A review," *Chemical Engineering Science*, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 966-988, 2006.
- [10] S. F. Altschul, *et al.*, "Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: A new generation of protein database search programs," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 25, no. 17, pp. 3389-3402, 1997.
  [11] K. Tamura, *et al.*, "MEGA5: Molecular evolutionary genetics
- [11] K. Tamura, et al., "MEGA5: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods," *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, vol. 28, no. 10, pp. 2731- 2739, 2011.
- [12] K.-B. Li, "Clustalw-MPI: Clustalw analysis using distributed and parallel computing," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 19, no. 12. pp. 1585-1586, 2003.
- [13] A. Sali, et al., "Evaluation of comparative protein modeling by MODELLER," Proteins: Structure, Function, and Genetics, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 318-326, 1995.
- [14] B. K. Ho and R. Brasseur, "The Ramachandran plots of glycine and pre-proline," *BMC Structural Biology*, vol. 5, pp. 14, 2005.

Ragheed Hussam Yousif is a PhD student at Malaysia - Japan International Institute of Technology (MJIIT)-Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Kuala Lumpur. He received his Master of Science in the faculty of Bioscience and bioengineering (Biotechnology)-Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. His research focuses on the Protein homology modeling and Protein docking.

Nurul Bahiyah Ahmad Khairudin is a senior lecturer of Bioprocess Engineering at Malaysia - Japan International Institute of Technology (MJIIT)-Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Kuala Lumpur. She received her PhD from Universiti Sains Malaysia-(USM) . Her research focuses on molecular dynamics simulation of protein and other molecules.